

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DEQUON BALLARD,) 1:05-cv-00570-AWI-DLB-HC
12)
12 Petitioner,) **ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND**
13) **RECOMMENDATIONS** (Doc. 9)
13 v.)
14) **ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR**
14 J. WOODFORD, et al.,) **WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**
15)
15 Respondents.) **ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO**
16) **ENTER JUDGMENT FOR RESPONDENT**
16

17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a
18 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

19 On October 25, 2006, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and
20 Recommendations that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be
21 DENIED, and that judgment be entered in favor of Respondent. These
22 Findings and Recommendations were served on all parties and
23 contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty
24 (30) days from the date of service of that order. To date, the
25 parties have not filed timely objections to the Findings and
26 Recommendations.

27 //

28 /

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636
2 (b) (1) (C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case.
3 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that
4 the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations are supported
5 by the record and proper analysis.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

7 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed October 25, 2006,
8 are ADOPTED IN FULL;

9 2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED; and,
10 3. The Clerk of Court enter judgment in favor of Respondent.

12 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: December 30, 2006
0m8i78

/s/ Anthony W. Ishii
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE